

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
CHARLOTTE DIVISION

CRIMINAL NO. 3:06CR415

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
)
)
VS.) ORDER
)
)
PAUL OSUJI)
)

THIS MATTER is before the Court on Defendant's *pro se* motion to amend the record. **Motion to Amend the Record, filed May 4, 2009.**

The Defendant has been advised repeatedly to file motions only through counsel. ***United States v. D'Amario*, 2008 WL 624768 at *1, 2008 U.S. App. LEXIS 5079 at *4 (3d Cir. 2008)** (holding that district courts are not obligated to consider *pro se* motions from a defendant who is represented by counsel because “[t]he Constitution does not confer a right to proceed simultaneously by counsel and *pro se*”); see also, Order, filed May 20, 2008, at 1-2; Order, filed January 6, 2009, at 1-2.

Again, the Defendant is instructed to communicate with the Court only through his attorney.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that Defendant's *pro se* motion to amend the record is hereby **DENIED**.

Signed: May 12, 2009



Lacy H. Thornburg
United States District Judge

